

Dr. Vandana Singh
Assistant Professor
PG-Dept of English

Maharaja College of English

Linguistics in Language Teaching

Meaning of words 'Langue' & 'Parole'

Most linguists accept a distinction that was first made explicit by the Swiss linguist Saussure, ^{there is diff. b/w} Lang as a speech act and Lang as a system by which we succeed in understanding or producing utterances. Most linguists accept it as being ideal. Theory of distinction.

For de Saussure a language (Langue) is shared by all members of a particular speech community. It is an institutionalized element of their collective consciousness and only because everyone shares in it, is it possible to understand one another.

If one was to examine the actual utterances of a group, everything that was common to their speech would be Langue.

If one took away what was ~~insidiatory~~ idiosyncratic or innovative Langue would remain. Langue must "by definition, be stable and systematic." Society conveys the regularities of Langue to the child so that he becomes able to function as members of speech community by learning that bit of Langue which is stable.

By contrast each utterance, each act of speaking is a unique event. There is a complex and changing relationship b/w the Langue and situational and personal feature which means that no one act of Langue is ever quite like another. i.e. The ^{act of speaking is distinguished by} product of our personality, our temperament or also our physical incapacities and by those distortions in our speech which are not part of the system of language. ①

It is i.e. there are elements that are not shared by all the speech community that act of speech ~~it~~ common of is considered distinct from Langue and is termed "Parole". Given that there is a good deal that is idiosyncratic or not fully institutionalized, Parole cannot be stable and a systematic. Because it is therefore not considered suitable as a subject of linguist study.

~~Competence & performance~~ A distinction of similar sort has been made by a contemporary linguist Noam Chomsky his terms are 'Competence' & 'Performance' (Langue) (Parole).

They are not exact equivalents since Chomsky does not accept that competence could be described in terms of collective consciousness. On the contrary competence he says can be seen as a set of processes possessed by the individual and developed in him as part of his maturation.

The function of community in this process is little more than that of a triggering mechanism.

None the less it includes the heading of competence and Langue that we find all i.e. systematic about a lang. Both Chomsky & De Saussure would say that it is this systematic & stable element of lang. that the linguist must set out to destroy.

This Parole or Performance being unstable is not susceptible to adequate description. For Chomsky there is no point in looking at parole since much that needs to be said about Langue cannot be observed there. For De Saussure

if while parole mightn't be object of study it does provide the data from which statement about Langue can be made. ②

There were many historians living, whoever present were inspired by his writings wrote for next century to find the source of this language. Though the study was lopsided but this point was emphasised and looked at structural similarity and wrote comparative essays. They established that

'Proto-Indo-European' language as the basis. ^{ancestor} They tried to reconstructing

the ancestral language and ^{profoundly} of the reason for the splitting of these

languages. In mid 19th when Darwin gave the theory of evolution which was an evolution in that century differing the belief of Christianity.

In the study of language fitted in with Darwin's writing, Darwin talked about mutation, survival of fittest in which he emphasised that as the fitter survives it changes its form. Emphasis on change; so the linguists also emphasised on the change of lang. In 19th, some philosophers say that actually the lang. changes

regularly ^{and not at random} (Young Parians). → Principle of analogy → Any word of a given dialect.

one sound change to another, the change will also effect all other occurrences of the same sound in similar phonetic currency

e.g. Kin-chin (ann). (5)

The change from a k-sound to a ch-sound

- ③ affected all other k-sound which occurred at the beginning of a word before 'e' or 'i'

Early to mid 20th is the era of Linguistics.
In early 20th emphasis changed on language description as it actually was. Describing one single language at a particular time.

(Synchronic Linguistics) Credit of this goes to Swiss linguist Ferdinand de Saussure (1857-1913)

① His crucial contribution, his explicit statement that all language items are essentially inter-linked

He Two words, 'langue' & 'parole' were made distinct by Chomsky who talked about performance and competence which gave him a clue to generative Linguistics. A system of systems

② He was first to distinguish a synchronic study of lang. from a diachronic study -

③ He was first to distinguish the abstract linguistic system which he called a "langue" from the actual speech which was the "parole"

④ He insisted that essence of lang. is not in its external aspects but in its internal system -

(COMPETENCE + PERFORMANCE)

His insistence that a lang. was carefully structure of inter-woven elements ~ the way he ushered in the era of Linguistics (structural).

He

Main Points -

① His insistence that lang. was a patterned structure composed of interdependent elements rather than a collection of unconnected individual item.