

# Mathematical Physics.

B.Sc. Physics Part-III

Dr. S.K. Yadav; email: sunil.phy30@gmail.com

In last note we have discussed gradient. For any scalar function  $\phi(x, y, z)$ , the grad  $\phi$  or  $\vec{\nabla}\phi$  is defined as

$$\vec{\nabla}\phi = \hat{x} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} + \hat{y} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y} + \hat{z} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z}$$

$$\vec{\nabla} \equiv \hat{x} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \hat{y} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \hat{z} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$$

↑

vector differential operator

one can use  $\hat{i}, \hat{j}, \hat{k}$  in place of  $\hat{x}, \hat{y}, \hat{z}$

(A). Divergence: If we operate  $\nabla$  on a vector  ~~$\vec{A}$~~

$\vec{A} = \hat{x} A_x + \hat{y} A_y + \hat{z} A_z$ . We dot  $\vec{\nabla}$  into the  $\vec{A}$  and obtain

$$\nabla \cdot \vec{A} = \frac{\partial A_x}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial A_y}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial A_z}{\partial z}$$

$\nabla \cdot \vec{A} \rightarrow$  divergence of  $\vec{A}$ .  
Scalar quantity

Some Examples:

② Evaluating  $\nabla \cdot \vec{r}$ ,  $\vec{r} = \hat{x}x + \hat{y}y + \hat{z}z$

$$\nabla \cdot \vec{r} = \left( \hat{x} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \hat{y} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \hat{z} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right) \cdot (\hat{x}x + \hat{y}y + \hat{z}z)$$

$$= \frac{\partial x}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial y}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial z}{\partial z} = 1 + 1 + 1 = 3$$

$$\nabla \cdot \vec{r} = 3$$

③ Calculate  ~~$\nabla^2(\frac{1}{r})$~~ . Prove  $\nabla \cdot \nabla \phi = \nabla^2 \phi$   
where  $\nabla^2 = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2}$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
 \nabla \cdot \nabla \phi &= \left( \hat{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \hat{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \hat{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right) \cdot \left( \hat{i} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} + \hat{j} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y} + \hat{k} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z} \right) \\
 &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z} \right) \\
 &= \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial z^2} \\
 &= \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} \right) \phi \\
 &= \nabla^2 \phi, \\
 \nabla^2 &\equiv \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2}
 \end{aligned}$$

We call it Laplacian operator.

H.W. Prove  $\nabla^2 \left( \frac{1}{r} \right) = 0$

Hint:

$$r = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}$$

$$\nabla^2 \left( \frac{1}{r} \right) = \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} \right) \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}} \right)$$

① Evaluate  $\nabla \cdot (\vec{r} \phi(r))$ ;  $|\vec{r}| = r = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} [x \phi(r)] + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} [y \phi(r)] + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} [z \phi(r)]$$

$$= \phi(r) + x \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r} \frac{\partial r}{\partial x} + \phi(r) + y \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r} \frac{\partial r}{\partial y} + \phi(r) + z \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r} \frac{\partial r}{\partial z} + \phi(r)$$

$$= 3\phi(r) + x \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r} \left( \frac{x}{r} \right) + y \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r} \left( \frac{y}{r} \right) + z \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r} \left( \frac{z}{r} \right)$$

$$= 3\phi(r) + \frac{x^2}{r} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r} + \frac{y^2}{r} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r} + \frac{z^2}{r} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r} = 3\phi(r) + \left(\frac{x^2}{r} + \frac{y^2}{r} + \frac{z^2}{r}\right) \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r}$$

$$= 3\phi(r) + \frac{r^2}{r} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r}$$

$$\boxed{\nabla \cdot (\vec{r} \phi(r)) = 3\phi(r) + r \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r}}$$

(d) Evaluate  $\nabla \cdot (\vec{r} r^{n-1})$

Using the above result; we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \cdot (\vec{r} r^{n-1}) &= 3r^{n-1} + r \frac{\partial (r^{n-1})}{\partial r} = 3r^{n-1} + r \cdot (n-1)r^{n-2} \\ &= 3r^{n-1} + (n-1)r^{n-1} \\ &= \underline{\underline{(n+2)r^{n-1}}} \end{aligned}$$

(e) Prove  $\int f(\vec{r}) \nabla \cdot \vec{A}(\vec{r}) d\vec{x} = -\int \vec{A} \cdot \nabla f d\vec{x}$ ,

$\vec{A}$  or  $f$  both vanish at infinity.  $d\vec{x} = dx dy dz$ .

Soln.

$$\int_{x,y,z} f(\vec{r}) \nabla \cdot \vec{A}(\vec{r}) d\vec{x} = \int_{x,y,z} f \left( \frac{\partial A_x}{\partial x} dx dy dz + \frac{\partial A_y}{\partial y} dy dx dz + \frac{\partial A_z}{\partial z} dz dx dy \right)$$

Now we apply ~~int~~ transform integration by parts.

Taking first term on r.h.s. of above equation.

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{x,y,z} f \frac{\partial A_x}{\partial x} dx dy dz &= \iiint \left[ \left[ A_x f \right]_{x=-\infty}^{\infty} - \int f \frac{\partial A_x}{\partial x} dx \right] dy dz \\ &= -\iiint f \frac{\partial A_x}{\partial x} dx dy dz = -\int_{x,y,z} f \frac{\partial A_x}{\partial x} dx dy dz \end{aligned}$$

Same for other two terms

We can write

$$\int f(\vec{r}) \nabla \cdot \vec{A}(\vec{r}) d\vec{x} = - \int \left( A_x \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} dx dy dz + A_y \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} dy dx dz + A_z \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} dz dx dy \right)$$

or

$$\int f(\vec{r}) \nabla \cdot \vec{A}(\vec{r}) d\vec{x} = - \int \vec{A} \cdot \nabla f d\vec{x}$$

(F) ~~Prove~~ Prove  $\nabla \cdot (\phi \vec{A}) = (\nabla \phi) \cdot \vec{A} + \phi (\nabla \cdot \vec{A})$

(B.) Curl :

curl of any vector  $\vec{A}$  is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \times \vec{A} &= \left( \hat{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \hat{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \hat{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right) \times (A_x \hat{i} + A_y \hat{j} + A_z \hat{k}) \\ &= \hat{i} \left( \frac{\partial A_z}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial A_y}{\partial z} \right) + \hat{j} \left( \frac{\partial A_x}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial A_z}{\partial x} \right) + \hat{k} \left( \frac{\partial A_y}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial A_x}{\partial y} \right) \\ &= \begin{vmatrix} \hat{i} & \hat{j} & \hat{k} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \\ -A_x & A_y & A_z \end{vmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$\nabla \times \vec{A} \rightarrow$  Curl of  $\vec{A}$

$\rightarrow$  defined as an operator

Determinant must be expanded such that we get derivatives as in this term.

$\hookrightarrow$  differential operator should operate on  $A_x, A_y, A_z$ .



(i) Prove  $\nabla \times (\nabla \phi) = 0$

Curl grad  $\phi = 0$ .

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla \times \nabla \phi &= \nabla \times \left( i \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} + j \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y} + k \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z} \right) \\ &= \begin{vmatrix} \hat{i} & \hat{j} & \hat{k} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \\ \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z} \end{vmatrix}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&= i \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z} \right) - \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y} \right) \right] + j \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} \right) - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z} \right) \right] \\ &+ k \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y} \right) - \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} \right) \right]\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&= i \left[ \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial y \partial z} - \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial z \partial y} \right] + j \left[ \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial z \partial x} - \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x \partial z} \right] \\ &+ k \left[ \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x \partial y} - \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial y \partial x} \right]\end{aligned}$$

Assuming that  $\phi$  has continuous second partial derivatives

so that  $\frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \equiv \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x_j \partial x_i}$

$$\boxed{\nabla \times \nabla \phi = 0}$$

H.W. Prove that  $\nabla \cdot (\nabla \times \vec{A}) = 0$ .

$\text{div Curl } \vec{A} = 0$ .